Temporal Variations in Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Dairy Cow Manure Pakorn Sutitarnnontr¹ (pakorn@aggiemail.usu.edu), Rhonda Miller², Markus Tuller³, and Scott B. Jones¹ 1. Department of Plants, Soils and Climate, Utah State University, Logan, Utah THE UNIVERSITY 2. Agricultural Systems Technology and Education Department, Utah State University, Logan, Utah UtahState University

3. Department of Soil, Water, and Environmental Science, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona



Introduction

The implementation of air quality regulations for animal feeding operations (AFOs) increases the need for accurate determination of gas emission rates. However, there are no standardized methods for collection, measurement, and quantification of gas emissions from AFOs due to the difficulty of the measurement. Temporal variations complicate the determination of gas emissions from AFOs. The temporal variations in gas emissions primarily result from environmental variables (i.e., temperature, manure's moisture content, and porosity). Other factors influencing the temporal variations include variations of biochemical processes in manure and variations of gas transport mechanisms.

Experiment Design and Setup



Fresh dairy cow manure samples (left) were collected from the Caine Dairy Teaching and Research Center (Wellsville, Utah). The milking cows were mature Holsteins fed a standard total mixed ration (TMR) diet with an approximate crude protein content of 17%. The chamber was programmed to be closed for three minutes (one observation), with three observations performed in one hour. The Fourier Transform Infrared Spectrometers (FTIR) gas analyzer measures 15 different gases at low concentrations including CO₂, CO, CH₄, NH₃, N₂O, NO_x, water vapor, and volatile organic compounds (VOCs).

Focusing on diurnal and weekly variations, our research aims : (1) to characterize individual gas emission rates from manure as a function of temperature, moisture content, and time after excretion; (2) to investigate and determine the degree of temporal variability affected by these factors.

High Resolution Balance (GX-10K, A&D Weighing, San Jose, Cal.)

Long-Term Chamber (LI-COR LI-8100-101, LI-COR Biosciences, Lincoln, Neb.)

CO₂ Gas Analyzer Unit (LI-COR LI-8100A, LI-COR Biosciences, Lincoln, Neb.)

FTIR Gas Analyzer (Gasmet DX-4030, Gasmet Technologies Oy, Helsinki, Finland)

Radiation Shield for Temperature Sensor



Moisture Content Sensor (EC-5, Decagon Devices, Pullman, Wash.) was installed to monitor changes in manure moisture content during the investigation.

Closed Dynamic Chamber

Results and Discussion

A. CO_2 , CH_4 , and NH_3 Fluxes

C. Comparison of CO₂ Fluxes between LI-COR 8100A and GASMET DX-4030



Methane (CH₄) flux data were collected on dairy cow manure in a greenhouse. Two observations are shown for demonstration purposes. For both observations, the observation length (time when the chamber is sealed against manure container) was three minutes. The first data point used in the analysis is collected after the chamber touches down (below).







where P is the measured ambient pressure, V is the total system volume, P_s is the standard pressure, R is the gas constant, S is surface area of the chamber over the emission source, T is the temperature (°C), C is the gas concentration, and t is the observation time

0.00 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 DOY Surface Temperature (Left Axis) ▲ Subsurface Temperature (Left Axis) Ambient Temperature (Left Axis) • Relative Moisture Content (Right Axis) were in well agreement.

emission rates. In addition, CO₂ fluxes determined from using LI-COR 8100A and GASMET DX-4030

5 10 15 20 25 30 35 40 CO_2 Flux (µmol/m²/s) - LI-COR

Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully acknowledge support from a USDA-CSREES AFRI Air Quality Program grant # 2010-85112-50524. Special thanks go to Bill Mace for his assistance with the experiments and to Professor Grant E. Cardon for providing greenhouse space.

